1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	TIM EGGEBRECHT,	No. 2:13-cv-01692-KJN
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	
15	Defendant.	
16	Derendant.	
17	Title 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) provides that an action seeking judicial review of a final decision	
18	of the Commissioner of Social Security "shall be brought in the district court of the United States	
19	for the judicial district in which the plaintiff resides" Upon further review of the file, it	
20	appears that plaintiff resides in Oak Park, CA, a city in Ventura County, which is located in the	
21	Central District of California. As such, the action was improperly commenced in this district.	
22	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a), "[t]h	ne district court of a district in which is filed a case
23	laying venue in the wrong division or district shall dismiss, or if it be in the interest of justice,	
24	transfer such case to any district or division in which it could have been brought." Here, given	
25	that the erroneous filing in this district was likely an inadvertent error, and the fact that social	
26	security claimants already face long wait times for resolution of their cases, the court finds that	
27	the interests of justice support a transfer to the Central District in lieu of dismissal.	
28	////	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The action is TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Central	
3	District of California.	
4	2. The Clerk of Court shall vacate all dates and close this case.	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: November 18, 2014	
7	Ferdal & Newman	
8	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	