1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BRIEN EDWARD SMITH,	No. 2:13-cv-1713 WBS AC
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	LOWE'S HIW, INC.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action in pro per. The matter was referred to a United States	
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).	
19	On September 11, 2014, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
20	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to	
21	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 40.)	
22	Defendants have filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (ECF No. 41.)	
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
24	court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
25	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
26	analysis.	
27	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
28	1. The findings and recommendation	s filed September 11, 2014, (ECF No. 40) are

adopted in full; 2. Defendant's June 16, 2014, motion to dismiss (ECF No. 35) is granted; and 3. Plaintiff is granted leave to amend his complaint to assert defamation claims based on the allegedly defamatory statements included in his personnel file and Mr. Vipond's statement to defendant's management team. Dated: October 23, 2014 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE