1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JAMES KEPPLER,	No. 2: 13-cv-1731 KJM CKD PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14 15	STRUCTURED ASSET MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II TRUST 2007-AR4, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. This action was transferred from the United	
19	States District Court, Southern District of New York, because the property which is the subject of	
20	the action is located in the Eastern District of California and because plaintiff resides in this	
21	District.	
22	Plaintiff alleges diversity jurisdiction as the basis for subject matter jurisdiction. Diversity	
23	jurisdiction is lacking in that the citizenship of the parties is not diverse because both plaintiff and	
24	defendant Wells Fargo are citizens of California. See Taheny v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 878	
25	F.Supp. 2d 1093 (E.D. Cal. 2012) (Wells Fargo is citizen of California). No other basis of subject	
26	matter jurisdiction is evident in the complaint. ¹	
27	Plaintiff also alleged federal question jurisdiction but no federal claim is raised in the	
28	complaint.	
		1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: August 27, 2013 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 4 keppler1731.nosmj