1	PORTER SCOTT	
2	A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	
3	Michael W. Pott, SBN 186156 350 University Ave., Suite 200 Sacramento, California 95825	
4	TEL: 916.929.1481 FAX: 916.927.3706	
5	mpott@porterscott.com	
6	Attorney for Defendant	
7	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO (erroneo	usly sued as SACRAMENTO COUNTY
8	DEPARTMENT OF SUPPORT SERVICES)	
	Loretta Hazel Hellen, SBN 83604	
9	3105 First Avenue	
10	Sacramento, CA 95817 TEL: 916.806.3101	
11	attorneylhh@aol.com	
12	Attorney for Plaintiff	
13	JAMES D. HASS	
	LINITED STATES DISTRICT COLIDT	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	ONTED STATES DISTRICT COURT,	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	JAMES D. HASS,	CASE NO. 2:13-CV-01746-JAM-KJN
16	Plaintiff,	
17	,	PLAINTIFF JAMES D. HASS AND
18	V.	DEFENDANT COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO'S STIPULATION AND
19	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	REQUEST TO MODIFY THE STATUS
	DEPARTMENT OF SUPPORT SERVICES,	(PRE-TRIAL-SCHEDULING ORDER)
20	SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF SCOTT JONES ATTORNEY SEAN	
21	GJERDE, AND DOES 1 through X,	
22	inclusive,	
23	Defendants	Complaint Filed: March 7, 2012
24	/	
	Plaintiff JAMES HASS and Defendant COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO (erroneously sued	
25	as SACRAMENTO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SUPPORT SERVICES) hereby respectfully	
26		
27	request the Court modify the Status (Pre-trial Scheduling) Order for the reasons set forth below.	
28	Defendants removed the case to this Court on August 22, 2013. Since the removal was	
	(01288681 DOCY)	1

PLAINTIFF JAMES D. HASS AND DEFENDANT COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO'S STIPULATION AND REQUEST TO MODIFY THE STATUS (PRE-TRIAL-SCHEDULING ORDER)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

{01288681.DOCX}

filed, Defendant COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO has filed Motions to Dismiss the initial Complaint, the First Amended Complaint, and, now, the Second Amended Complaint. Defendant COUNTY's first two motions were granted with leave to amend. The hearing on Defendant's Motion To Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint is set for August 20, 2014. If Defendant COUNTY's motion is successful and the Court does not grant leave to amend, then the case will be concluded as it pertains to Defendant COUNTY.

Because of the above and the fact the pleadings are not yet in order, the parties have not yet engaged in any discovery so as to avoid incurring needless time and expense conducting

Because of the above and the fact the pleadings are not yet in order, the parties have not yet engaged in any discovery so as to avoid incurring needless time and expense conducting discovery on claims that cannot survive a motion to dismiss. Since expert disclosures are due in this case on August 15, 2014, discovery is set to close on October 15, 2014, and it is unlikely the parties will have a resolution of the currently pending Motion to Dismiss prior to September 2014, the parties stipulate to modify the present scheduling order and respectfully request that the scheduling order be modified as follows:

Expert Disclosure: July 10, 2015

Rebuttal Expert Disclosure: August 14, 2015

Discovery Cutoff: October 14, 2015

Last Day to File Dispositive Motions: November 18, 2015

Hearing on dispositive motions: December 16, 2015 at 9:30 a.m.

Joint pretrial statement due: February 5, 2016

Pre-Trial Conference: February 12, 2016 at 10:00 a.m.

Trial: March 28, 2016 at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: July 22, 2014 PORTER SCOTT

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

By /s/Michael W. Pott

Michael W. Pott Attorney for Defendant

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

Dated: July 22, 2014 LORETTA HAZEL HELLEN

PLAINTIFF JAMES D. HASS AND DEFENDANT COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO'S STIPULATION AND REQUEST TO MODIFY THE STATUS (PRE-TRIAL-SCHEDULING ORDER)

PORTER | SCOTT 350 University Ave., Suite 200

{01288681.DOCX}