1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 TIMOTHY WATTS, No. 2:13-cv-1749 TLN AC P 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 M. RUGGIERO, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By order filed October 30, 2013, certain parties and claims were dismissed from plaintiff's 18 complaint and plaintiff was granted thirty days to amend. Plaintiff was informed of the 19 deficiencies of the complaint, should be choose to seek to amend. Plaintiff was further instructed 20 that if he chose not to amend his complaint, and elected instead to proceed on the claims that 21 survived screening, he must within thirty days return materials for service on process on the 22 defendants against whom he had stated cognizable claims. Plaintiff was provided with the 23 requisite service forms. Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to comply would result in a 24 recommendation of dismissal of this action. The thirty day period has now long expired, and 25 plaintiff has neither filed an amended complaint, nor submitted the forms for service of the 26 original complaint. Nor has plaintiff otherwise responded to the court's order. 27 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without 28 prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 1

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: March 21, 2014

ALLISON CLAIRE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE