1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SAAHDI ABDUL COLEMAN,	No. 2:13-cv-1753 KJM CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	FRED FOULK, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a California prisoner proceeding pro se with an action for violation of civil	
18	rights under 42 U.S.C. §1983. On November 3, 2015, defendants filed a motion seeking	
19	dismissal of plaintiff's remaining claims. Plaintiff responded to the motion by essentially	
20	admitting that his pleadings are defective and by seeking leave to file a second amended	
21	complaint, which plaintiff has already filed, in order to attempt to cure the deficiencies.	
22	The court has reviewed defendants' motion to dismiss, and it appears the parties are	
23	correct that at least some or parts of defendants' arguments have merit. It also appears some or	
24	all of the defects identified by defendants can be cured by amended pleadings. Considering leave	
25	to amend pleadings should be given freely when justice so requires, Fed R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2), and	
26	considering the court must generally give pro se litigants an opportunity to cure the deficiencies	
27	in their pleadings, after the litigant has been informed of those deficiencies, see Ferdik v.	
28	/////	
	I	

Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1261 (9th Cir. 1992), the court will grant plaintiff's motion for leave to amend. By separate order, the court will screen plaintiff's second amended complaint pursuant to the court's obligation to do so under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). Defendants' pending motion to dismiss will be denied without prejudice and defendants need take no further action until they are notified by the court that further action is required. In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERDED that: 1. Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a second amended complaint (ECF No. 41) is granted; 2. Plaintiff's first amended complaint is dismissed; 3. Defendants' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 33) is denied without prejudice; 4. Defendants' motion to strike (ECF No. 45) is denied; and 5. Defendants need not take any further action with respect to this matter until notified by the court that action is required. Dated: June 8, 2016 CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE cole1753.mta