

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LANCE IAN OSBAND,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

SAN QUENTIN STATE PRISON
WARDEN,

 Defendant.

No. 2:13-cv-1755-EFB P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. ECF No. 9.

A civil action, other than one based on diversity jurisdiction, must be brought in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

Based upon the allegations in the original complaint and the amended complaint, it appears that plaintiff wishes to challenge his conditions of confinement at San Quentin State

1 Prison. Specifically, he appears to challenge a rules violation report and/or the confiscation of his
2 personal property that occurred on or around August 14, 2013. *See* ECF No. 1; ECF No. 5 at 4,
3 7, 11, and 12.¹ Each of these claims arose at San Quentin State Prison, which is in Marin County.
4 Marin County lies within the venue of the Northern District of California.

5 The amended complaint names defendants located in this district who allegedly
6 “conspired” with officials at San Quentin. For that reason alone, venue may be proper in this
7 district. However, the court finds that the convenience of the parties and witnesses and the
8 interests of justice would be better served by transferring this action to the Northern District,
9 where venue is also proper.

10 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is transferred to the Northern
11 District of California. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 84(a), 1404(a).

12 Dated: November 5, 2013.

13 

14 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 _____
28 ¹ Page number citations refer to those assigned by the court’s electronic case management filing system and not those assigned by plaintiff.