

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LELAND LENNEAR AND NARVELL  
HENRY, SR.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DIAMOND PET FOOD PROCESSORS  
OF CALIFORNIA, LLC; DIAMOND PET  
FOOD PROCESSORS OF RIPON, LLC;  
SCHELL & KAMPETER, INC. d/b/a/  
DIAMOND PET FOODS,

Defendants.

No. 2:13-cv-1871 TLN CKD (TEMP)

ORDER

On June 1, 2016, this matter came before the undersigned for hearing of defendants’ motion for reconsideration. Attorney Paul Pfeilschiefter appeared telephonically on behalf of the plaintiffs. Attorney Bradley Bakker appeared telephonically on behalf of the defendants.


On April 29, 2016, the undersigned issued an order denying the parties’ April 26, 2016 discovery disagreement as untimely. (Dkt. No. 84.) Defendants now seek reconsideration of that order. Defendants, however, have failed to show that the April 29, 2016 order was in any respect “clearly erroneous or contrary to law.” Local Rule 303(f). Nonetheless, the undersigned cannot ignore the relevant changed circumstances since the April 29, 2016 order was issued. In this regard, on May 4, 2016, the assigned District Judge granted the undersigned the authority to

1 modify the case's Scheduling Order. (Dkt. Nos. 94 & 95.)

2 Accordingly, upon consideration of the arguments on file and at the hearing, and for the  
3 reasons set forth on the record at the hearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 4 1. Defendants' May 3, 2016 motion for reconsideration (Dkt. No. 84) is granted; and
- 5 2. Defendants are granted 30 days from the date of this order to conduct the IME at issue.

6 Dated: June 2, 2016

7   
8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28