8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
KEVIN ANTHONY MACGREGOR,	No. 2:13-cv-1883 LKK AC P	
Plaintiff,		
v.	ORDER	
DR. DIAL, et al.,		
Defendants.		
17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief		
18 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to		
20 On November 27, 2013, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein		
21 which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the		
findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Plaintiff has filed		
23 objections to the findings and recommendations.		
In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this		
court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the		
	26 court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper27 analysis.	
	s to be supported by the record and by proper	
court finds the findings and recommendations analysis.	s to be supported by the record and by proper	
	FOR THE EASTERN KEVIN ANTHONY MACGREGOR, Plaintiff, v. DR. DIAL, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding p under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was refe 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302 On November 27, 2013, the magistrate which were served on plaintiff and which core findings and recommendations were to be fille objections to the findings and recommendation In accordance with the provisions of 2 court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this	

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed November 27, 2013, are adopted in full; 2. Plaintiff's request for a stay (ECF No. 14) is denied. DATED: March 31, 2014. K. KARLTON WRENCE SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT