1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	QUINTERRIOUS CLARK,	No. 2:13-cv-1885 JAM DAD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	M. HERRERA et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By order filed August 5, 2014, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to	
18	file an amended complaint was granted. Thirty days from that date have now passed, and	
19	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
21	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
22	These findings and recommendations	are submitted to the United States District Judge
23	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
24	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
25	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings	
26	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified	
27	/////	
28	/////	

1	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
2	(9th Cir. 1991).
3	Dated: September 18, 2014
4	Dale A. Dage
5	DALE A. DROZD
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  DAD:9 clar1885.fta
7	Ciai 1865.ita
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	