1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOHN DENECOCHEA,	No. 2:13-cv-01906-MCE-CKD
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER
14	CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL,	
15	et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	After reviewing the parties' Joint Status Report, the Court makes the following	
19	Pretrial Scheduling Order.	
20	I. <u>SERVICE OF PROCESS</u>	
21	All named Defendants have been served and no further service is permitted	
22	without leave of court, good cause having been shown.	
23	II. ADDITIONAL PARTIES/AMENDMENTS/PLEADINGS	
24	No joinder of parties or amendments to pleadings is permitted without leave of	
25	court, good cause having been shown.	
26	III. JURISDICTION/VENUE	
27	Jurisdiction is predicated upon 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988. Jurisdiction and	
28	venue are not contested.	
		1

1 IV. <u>DISCOVERY</u>

All discovery, with the exception of expert discovery, shall be completed by November 7, 2016. In this context, "completed" means that all discovery shall have been conducted so that all depositions have been taken and any disputes relative to discovery shall have been resolved by appropriate order if necessary and, where discovery has been ordered, the order has been obeyed. All motions to compel discovery must be noticed on the magistrate judge's calendar in accordance with the local rules of this Court.

9

V. <u>DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESSES</u>

All counsel are to designate in writing, file with the Court, and serve upon all other
parties the name, address, and area of expertise of each expert that they propose to
tender at trial not later than January 8, 2017.¹ The designation shall be accompanied by
a written report prepared and signed by the witness. The report shall comply with Fed.
R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B).

Within twenty (20) days after the designation of expert witnesses, any party may
designate a supplemental list of expert witnesses who will express an opinion on a
subject covered by an expert designated by an adverse party. The right to designate a
supplemental expert for rebuttal purposes only shall apply to a party who has not
previously disclosed an expert witness on the date set for expert witness disclosure by
this Pretrial Scheduling Order.

Failure of a party to comply with the disclosure schedule as set forth above in all likelihood will preclude that party from calling the expert witness at the time of trial. An expert witness not appearing on the designation will not be permitted to testify unless the party offering the witness demonstrates: (a) that the necessity for the witness could not have been reasonably anticipated at the time the list was proffered; (b) that the Court

26

 ¹ The discovery of experts will include whether any motions based on <u>Daubert v. Merrell Dow</u>
 Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993) and/or <u>Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael</u>, 526 U.S. 137 (1999) are anticipated.

and opposing counsel were promptly notified upon discovery of the witness; and (c) that
 the witness was promptly made available for deposition.

For purposes of this Pretrial Scheduling Order, an "expert" is any person who may be used at trial to present evidence under Rules 702, 703, and 705 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, which include both "percipient experts" (persons who, because of their expertise, have rendered expert opinions in the normal course of their work duties or observations pertinent to the issues in the case) and "retained experts" (persons specifically designated by a party to be a testifying expert for the purposes of litigation).

9 Each party shall identify whether a disclosed expert is percipient, retained, or
10 both. It will be assumed that a party designating a retained expert has acquired the
11 express permission of the witness to be so listed. Parties designating percipient experts
12 must state in the designation who is responsible for arranging the deposition of such
13 persons.

All experts designated are to be fully prepared at the time of designation to render
an informed opinion, and give their bases for their opinion, so that they will be able to
give full and complete testimony at any deposition taken by the opposing party. Experts
will not be permitted to testify at the trial as to any information gathered or evaluated, or
opinion formed, after deposition taken subsequent to designation.

Counsel are instructed to complete all discovery of expert witnesses in a timely
manner in order to comply with the Court's deadline for filing dispositive motions.

- 21 ///
- 22 ///
- 23 ///
- 24 ///
- 25 ///
- 26
- 26 /// 27 ///
- 27 |/// 28 ///

1 VI. MOTION HEARING SCHEDULE 2 The last day to hear dispositive motions shall be May 4, 2017. All papers should 3 be filed in conformity with the Local Rules. However, with respect to Motions for 4 Summary Judgment only, the parties shall comply with the following filing deadlines: Motion for Summary filed at least 8 weeks prior to hearing 5 Judgment 6 Opposition and any filed at least 5 weeks prior to hearing 7 cross-motion Reply and opposition to filed at least 3 weeks prior to hearing 8 cross-motion 9 Reply to cross-motion filed at least 1 week prior to hearing 10 11 Absent leave of the Court, all issues the parties wish to resolve on summary 12 13 judgment must be raised together in one (1) motion or cross-motion. Should the parties 14 wish to file additional motions for summary judgment, they must seek leave of the Court. The parties are directed to the Court's website for available hearing dates. 15 (www.caed.uscourts.gov \rightarrow choose Judges \rightarrow choose Judge England \rightarrow choose 16 Standard Information) 17 All purely legal issues are to be resolved by timely pretrial motions. When 18 19 appropriate, failure to comply with Local Rules 230 and 260, as modified by this Order, may be deemed consent to the motion and the Court may dispose of the motion 20 21 summarily. With respect to motions for summary judgment, failure to comply with Local 22 Rules 230 and 260, as modified by this Order, may result in dismissal for failure to prosecute (or failure to defend) pursuant to this Court's inherent authority to control its 23 docket and/or Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). Further, failure to timely oppose a 24 summary judgment motion² may result in the granting of that motion if the movant shifts 25 $\parallel \parallel$ 26 27

 ² The Court urges any party that contemplates bringing a motion for summary judgment or who must oppose a motion for summary judgment to review Local Rule 260.

the burden to the nonmovant to demonstrate that a genuine issue of material fact
 remains for trial.

The Court places a page limit for points and authorities (exclusive of exhibits and other supporting documentation) of twenty (20) pages on all initial moving papers, twenty (20) pages on oppositions, and ten (10) pages for replies. All requests for page limit increases must be made in writing to the Court setting forth any and all reasons for any increase in page limit at least seven (7) days prior to the filing of the motion.

8 For the Court's convenience, citations to the Supreme Court Lexis database9 should include parallel citations to the Westlaw database.

The parties are reminded that a motion <u>in limine</u> is a pretrial procedural device
designed to address the admissibility of evidence. The Court will look with disfavor upon
dispositional motions presented at the Final Pretrial Conference or at trial in the guise of
motions <u>in limine</u>.

The parties are cautioned that failure to raise a dispositive legal issue that could
have been tendered to the court by proper pretrial motion prior to the dispositive motion
cut-off date may constitute waiver of such issue.

17 VII. TRIAL SETTING

The parties are ordered to file a Joint Notice of Trial Readiness not later than
thirty (30) days after receiving this Court's ruling(s) on the last filed dispositive motion. If
the parties do not intend to file dispositive motions, the parties are ordered to file a Joint
Notice of Trial Readiness not later than thirty (30) days after the close of discovery and
the notice must include statements of intent to forgo the filing of dispositive motions.

The parties are to set forth in their Notice of Trial Readiness, the appropriateness of special procedures, whether this case is related to any other case(s) on file in the Eastern District of California, the prospect for settlement, their estimated trial length, any request for a jury, and their available trial dates. After review of the parties' Joint Notice of Trial Readiness, the Court will issue an order that sets forth a final pretrial conference and trial date.

1

VIII. <u>SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE</u>

At the Final Pretrial Conference, the Court may set a settlement conference if the parties so request. In the event no settlement conference is requested, the parties are free to continue to mediate or attempt to settle the case with the understanding that the trial date is a firm date.

6 In the event a settlement conference is set by the Court, counsel are instructed to 7 have a principal with full settlement authority present at the Settlement Conference or to 8 be fully authorized to settle the matter on any terms. At least seven (7) calendar days 9 before the settlement conference, counsel for each party shall submit to the chambers of 10 the settlement judge a confidential Settlement Conference Statement. Such statements 11 are neither to be filed with the Clerk nor served on opposing counsel. Each party, 12 however, shall serve notice on all other parties that the statement has been submitted. If 13 the settlement judge is not the trial judge, the Settlement Conference Statement shall not 14 be disclosed to the trial judge.

15 Notwithstanding the foregoing, the parties may request a settlement conference 16 prior to the Final Pretrial Conference if they feel it would lead to the possible resolution of 17 the case. In the event an early settlement conference date is requested, the parties shall 18 file said request jointly, in writing. The request must state whether the parties waive 19 disqualification, pursuant to Local Rule 270(b), before a settlement judge can be 20 assigned to the case. Absent the parties' affirmatively requesting that the assigned 21 Judge or Magistrate Judge participate in the settlement conference AND waiver, 22 pursuant to Local Rule 270(b), a settlement judge will be randomly assigned to the case. 23 IX. VOLUNTARY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM 24 Pursuant to Local Rule 271 parties will need to lodge a stipulation and proposed 25 order requesting referral to the Voluntary Dispute Resolution Program. 26 Х. MODIFICATION OF PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER 27 The parties are reminded that pursuant to Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil

28 Procedure, the Pretrial Scheduling Order shall not be modified except by leave of court

1	upon a showing of good cause . Agreement by the parties pursuant to stipulation alone	
2	to modify the Pretrial Scheduling Order does not constitute good cause. Except in	
3	extraordinary circumstances, unavailability of witnesses or counsel will not constitute	
4	good cause.	
5	XI. OBJECTIONS TO PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER	
6	This Pretrial Scheduling Order will become final without further order of the Court	
7	unless objections are filed within seven (7) court days of service of this Order.	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated: March 3, 2016	
10		
11	In Asi	
12	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR, CHIEF JUDGE	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	_	
	7	