1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	VICTOR ANTHONY ORTEGA,	No. 13-cv-1913 KJM KJN P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	CONNIE GIPSON,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas	
18	corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as	
19	provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On September 24, 2013, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which	
21	were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the	
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner has not filed	
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602	
25	F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.	
26	See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having carefully	
27	reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record	
28	and by the proper analysis.	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed September 24, 2013, are adopted in full;	
3	2. Petitioner's motion to stay (ECF No. 2) is granted; and	
4	3. The Clerk of Court shall administratively stay this action.	
5	DATED: October 31, 2013.	
6		
7	Amile	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25 26		
26 27		
27 28		
20	2	