

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ERIC PEREZ,

Petitioner,

vs.

CONNIE GIPSON,

Respondent.

1:13-cv-1455 SKO (HC)

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

_____/

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

The petitioner is challenging a conviction from San Joaquin County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.

Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

1 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

3 2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
4 California sitting in Sacramento; and

5 3. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall
6 be filed at:

7 United States District Court
8 Eastern District of California
9 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200
Sacramento, CA 95814

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 **Dated: September 16, 2013**

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26