1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 LYNN GAVIN, No. 2:13-cv-2005-MCE-EFB PS 11 Plaintiff, 12 **ORDER** v. 13 TARGET CORPORATION, INC.; and DOES 1-100, 14 Defendants. 15 16 This case, in which plaintiff is proceeding in propria persona, was referred to the 17 undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Eastern District of California Local Rule 302(c)(21). Plaintiff has requested authority under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma 18 19 pauperis, and has submitted the affidavit required thereunder which demonstrates that plaintiff is 20 unable to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. ECF No. 2. Accordingly, the request to 21 proceed in forma pauperis is granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). 22 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the court is directed to dismiss a case filed pursuant to 23 the *in forma pauperis* statute if, at any time, it determines that the allegation of poverty is untrue, 24 or if the action is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or 25 seeks monetary relief against an immune defendant. The court cannot make this determination on 26 the present record. Therefore, the court reserves decision on these issues until the record is 27 sufficiently developed. 28 ///// 1

1	8. Failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be
2	dismissed.
3	DATED: May 20, 2014.
4	✓ EĎMUND F. BRĚNNAN
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	
25	
26	
27	