1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ALFRED KING,	No. 2:13-cv-2010 CKD P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER &	
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
15	et al.,		
16	Defendants.		
17		I	
18	By order filed November 12, 2013, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days'		
19	leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and		
20	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.		
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court assign a district judge to		
22	this action.		
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See		
24	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).		
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
26	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days		
27	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections		
28	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings		

1	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified	
2	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 115	
3	(9th Cir. 1991).	
4	Dated: January 8, 2014	Carola U. Delany
5		CAROLYN K. DELANEY
6		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7		
8	2/ king2010.fta	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		