1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	BRIAN CUSTER,	No. 2:13-cv-2059 MCE AC PS
13	Plaintiff,	
14	V.	ORDER
15	PATRICK FERNANDEZ,	
16	Defendant.	
17	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action in proper. The metter was referred to a United States	
18	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action in pro per. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).	
19 20	On October 21, 2013, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein,	
20 21	ECF No. 3, which were served on all parties and which contained notice to the defendant that any	
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Defendant	
23	has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
26	Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
27	analysis.	
28	///	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed October 21, 2013, ECF No. 3, are	
3	ADOPTED IN FULL; and	
4	2. This case is REMANDED to the Superior Court of California, Sacramento	
5	County, for lack of jurisdiction.	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: November 21, 2013	
8	Lan Alt	
9	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	_	
	2	