

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
LABOR, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:13-cv-02069-KJM-DB

AMALGAMATED TRANSIT UNION,
INTERNATIONAL, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
LABOR, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-00953-JAM-KJN

RELATED CASE ORDER

Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Here, “both actions involve similar questions of fact and the same question of law and their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a

1 substantial savings of judicial effort.” Local Rule 123(a)(3). Accordingly, the assignment of
2 these matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is
3 likely to be convenient for the parties.

4 The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions
5 to be assigned to the same judge – it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related
6 cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was
7 assigned.

8 As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that 2:20-cv-00953-JAM-KJN is reassigned
9 from District Judge John A. Mendez to the undersigned and from Magistrate Judge Kendall J.
10 Newman to Magistrate Judge Deborah Barnes. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the
11 reassigned case shall be shown as: 2:20-cv-00953-KJM-DB.

12 It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in
13 the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: June 2, 2020.

16 
17 _____
18 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28