(PS) Candle	er v. Carter	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ASHLEY CANDLER, No. 2:13-cv-2072-LKK-CMK	
12	Plaintiff,	
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>	
14	SHANNON CARTER,	
15	Defendant.	
16	/	
17	Plaintiff, proceeding with counsel, brings this civil action against a pro se	
18	defendant. Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 302(c)(21), this matter was	
19	referred to the undersigned for all pretrial proceedings. Pending before the court is defendant's	3
20	motion to change venue (Doc. 12) and motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 13).	
21	Defendant filed the motion to change venue without setting a hearing date or	
22	providing notice of the hearing pursuant to Local Rule 230. As the motion was not properly se	t
23	for hearing, the court will strike the motion. Defendant may re-file a properly noticed motion	or
24	hearing before the assigned Magistrate Judge. See Local Rule 230(k).	
25	As for defendant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, 28 U.S.C. § 1915 allow	VS
26	the court to authorize an action to be commenced without prepayment of fees by a person who	

Doc. 20

submits an affidavit showing the person is unable to pay such fees. Here, plaintiff paid the filing fees to commence this action and there are no fees or costs the defendant is required to pay at this time. Her motion will therefore be denied, without prejudice, as unnecessary.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Defendant's motion to change venue (Doc. 12) is stricken as improperly filed without a noticed hearing; and
- 2. Defendant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 13) is denied, without prejudice, as unnecessary.

DATED: June 11, 2014

CRAIG M. KELLISON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE