1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MARVIN GLENN HOLLIS, Case No. 2:13-cv-02145-MCE-JDP (PC) 12 Plaintiff. 13 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE v. 14 J. BAL, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 On October 20, 2023, defendants filed a renewed motion for terminating sanctions. ECF 18 Nos. 206 & 207. To date, plaintiff has not filed a response. 19 To manage its docket effectively, the court requires litigants to meet certain deadlines. 20 The court may impose sanctions, including dismissing a case, for failure to comply with its orders 21 or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110; Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S. 22 Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 23 1988). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a district court has a duty to administer 24 justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. See Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1. 25 26 I will give plaintiff a chance to explain why the court should not dismiss the case for his 27 failure to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendants' motion. Plaintiff's

28

in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Accordingly, plaintiff is ordered to show cause within twenty-one days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders. Should plaintiff wish to continue with this lawsuit, he shall file, within twenty-one days, an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendants' motion. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November 29, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE