IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN M. HALL,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER

ANTHONY GRAMMATICO, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the court is plaintiff's motion for leave to amend (Doc. 20), together with a proposed amended complaint (Doc. 21). Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(1), a party may amend his pleading once as a matter of right at any time before being served with a responsive pleading. A review of the docket reflects that no responsive pleading has been served. Therefore, plaintiff's motion is denied as unnecessary. This action

24 | /// 25 | ///

26 ///

shall now proceed on the amended complaint, which supercedes the prior complaint. The adequacy of the amended complaint will be addressed by separate order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 24, 2014

CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE