

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JOHN M. HALL, No. 2:13-CV-2211-KJM-CMK-P
Plaintiff,
vs. ORDER
ANTHONY GRAMMATICO, et al.,
Defendants.


_____/

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the court is plaintiff's motion (Doc. 80) for the court to set an in-person case management conference. According to plaintiff, he has various discovery related issues he wishes to discuss with defendants' counsel. Plaintiff has not shown good cause for court intervention at this time, nor has plaintiff demonstrated the need for an in-person hearing. Should court intervention be required to resolve a discovery dispute, plaintiff must file a written motion pursuant to the court's scheduling order, issued herewith.

///
///
///

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion (Doc. 80) for an
2 in-person case management conference is denied.

3
4 DATED: January 20, 2017

5 
6 _____
7 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26