1 2 3 4	DARYL S. LANDY, State Bar No. 136288 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1750 Irvine, CA 92614 Tel: 949.399.7000 Fax: 949.399.7001 E-mail: dlandy@morganlewis.com	
5	MICHAEL D. SCHLEMMER, State Bar No. 250	0000
6	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 2 Palo Alto Square	
7	3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700 Palo Alto, CA 94306	
8	Tel: 650.843.4000 Fax: 650.843.4001	
9	E-mail: mschlemmer@morganlewis.com	
10	Attorneys for Defendants NOVO NORDISK INC. and MARGO VOLPE	
11	DDIAND CHDANO CAAL DAWN 255427	
12	BRIAN D. SUDANO, State Bar No. 255427 SUDANO LAW FIRM	
13	540 Lennon Lane Walnut Creek, CA 94598	
14	Tel: 925.849.4183 Fax: 925.849.4185	
15	E-mail: bsudano@sudanolaw.com	
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff LISA PETITJEAN	
17		DISTRICT COLUDT
18	UNITED STATES I	
19	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFOI	RNIA – SACRAMENTO DIVISION
20	LIGA DETENDICAN	G N 0 10 00000 FIN 4 G
21	LISA PETITJEAN,	Case No. 2:13-cv-02223-TLN-AC
22	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED
23	VS.	COMPLAINT
24	NOVO NORDISK INC., MARGO VOLPE, and DOES 1 through 100,	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW PALO ALTO		ORDER FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. 2:13-CV-02223-TLN-AC

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
20

Plaintiff Lisa Petitjean ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Novo Nordisk Inc. ("Novo Nordisk") and Margo Volpe ("Volpe") (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendants have met and conferred regarding potential pleading deficiencies in the ninth cause of action for intentional infliction of emotional distress and the tenth cause of action for defamation per se in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint ("FAC");

WHEREAS, Plaintiff has agreed to amend her FAC to plead particularized facts in her intentional infliction of emotional distress and defamation per se causes of action;

WHEREAS, in exchange, Defendants have agreed not to oppose Plaintiff's pending motion for remand and will file a statement of non-opposition to Plaintiff's remand motion, attached hereto as Exhibit A;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendants agree that Defendants do not need to file a responsive pleading to the FAC in federal or state court;

WHEREAS, within fourteen days of this case being remanded to Sacramento County Superior Court, Plaintiff will amend the FAC to plead particularized facts in her intentional infliction of emotional distress and defamation per se causes of action;

WHEREAS, Defendants expressly reserve and do not waive their right to file a demurrer to any cause of action in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint ("SAC");

WHEREAS, Defendants expressly reserve and do not waive their right to remove this action should it become removable again at some later date;

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. Defendants will not oppose Plaintiff's pending motion to remand and will file a statement of non-opposition to the remand motion;
  - 2. Defendants need not file a responsive pleading to the FAC in federal or state court;
- 3. Upon remand, Plaintiff will file a SAC in Sacramento County Superior Court that will include pleading of particularized facts in her ninth cause of action for intentional infliction of emotional distress and tenth cause of action for defamation per se;

1

1	4. Defendants reserve and do not waive their right to file a demurrer to the SAC; and		
2	5. Defendants reserve and do not waive their right to remove this action should it		
3	become removable again at some later date.		
4			
5	II IS SO STIFULATED.		
6	D. J. D. J. 2 2012 MODGAN I DWIG & DOCKHIG I I D		
7	Dated: December 3, 2013 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP		
8	By/S/ Daryl S. Landy		
9	Michael D. Schlemmer Attorneys for Defendants NOVO NORDISK INC. and MARGO VOLPE		
10	NOVO NORDISK INC. and MARGO VOLPE		
11	Dated: December 3, 2013 SUDANO LAW FIRM		
12			
13	By /S/ Brian D. Sudano (authorized on 12/3/13) Brian D. Sudano		
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff LISA PETITJEAN		
15			
16	ORDER ON STIPULATION		
17			
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
19	DATED: December 4, 2013		
20			
21	$\cap$ $\downarrow$ $\uparrow$		
22	V V Vanday		
23	- My - touch		
24	Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge		
25			
26			
27			
28	STIDLIL ATION AND		

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. 2:13-CV-02223-TLN-AC