

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TAIPAK ENTERPRISES, LTD,  
Plaintiff,

v.

EASYSNAP NORTH AMERICA, LLC;  
MICHAEL MANSER, an  
individual; JOHN LANGE, an  
individual; and DOES 1  
through 20, inclusive,  
Defendants.

No. CIV. S-13-2258 LKK/DAD

EASYSNAP NORTH AMERICA, LLC,  
a California Limited  
Liability Company,  
Plaintiff,

v.

TAIPAK ENTERPRISES, LTD,  
EASYPACK SOLUTIONS SRL, et  
al.,  
Defendants.

No. CIV. S-13-2274 MCE/EFB

**RELATED CASE ORDER**

The court is in receipt of plaintiff's notice that this case is related to Easysnap North America LLC v. Taipak

1 Enterprises, Ltd., Civ. No. 2:13-cv-2274 MCE. Both cases  
2 concern, among other things, the disputed ownership of a machine  
3 manufactured by Easypack Solutions SRL, and the right to  
4 manufacture and market items made by the machine. The court  
5 finds that the above-captioned cases are related within the  
6 meaning of E.D. Cal. R. ("Local Rule") 123(a). Related cases are  
7 generally assigned to the judge presiding over the case with the  
8 lowest number. See Local Rule 123(c).

9 Accordingly the court ORDERS as follows:

10 1. The action denominated Civ. No. 2:13-cv-2274  
11 MCE/EFB is hereby **REASSIGNED** to Judge Lawrence K. Karlton and  
12 Magistrate Judge Dale A. Drozd for all further proceedings, and  
13 any dates currently set in the reassigned case only, are hereby  
14 VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the  
15 reassigned case shall be shown as Civ. No. 2:13-cv-2274 LKK/DAD.

16 2. The Clerk of the Court make shall appropriate  
17 adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for  
18 this reassignment.

19 3. The Clerk is directed to issue the undersigned's  
20 Civil New Case Documents in the reassigned case, and setting it  
21 for a joint status conference with the related case (January 21,  
22 2014 at 11:00 a.m.). In addition to the matters specifically  
23 required to be addressed in the Status Reports, all parties in  
24 both cases shall address whether consolidation of these cases  
25 would be conducive to the just, efficient, and economical  
26 determination of these cases.

27 IT IS SO ORDERED.

28 DATED: November 22, 2013.

  
LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
SENIOR JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT