| 1  |  |                            |
|----|--|----------------------------|
| 2  |  |                            |
| 2  |  |                            |
| 4  |  |                            |
| 5  |  |                            |
| 6  |  |                            |
| 7  |  |                            |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |                            |
| 9  | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |                            |
| 10 |  |                            |
| 11 | ADRIAN CIDA,   | No. 2:13-cv-2289 MCE KJN P |
| 12 | Plaintiff,   |                            |
| 13 | v.   | ORDER                      |
| 14 | BONNIE LEE, M.D., et al.,  |                            |
| 15 | Defendants.  |                            |
| 16 |  |                            |
| 17 | Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel. On November 20, 2017,                   |                            |
| 18 | defendants filed a motion for summary judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56.     |                            |
| 19 | On January 10, 2018, plaintiff was granted an extension of time up to and including February 11,   |                            |
| 20 | 2018, to file an opposition. That date has now passed, and plaintiff has not opposed the motion,   |                            |
| 21 | despite having three months to do so.  |                            |
| 22 | Local Rule 230(1) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written               |                            |
| 23 | opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to     |                            |
| 24 | the granting of the motion" <u>Id.</u> On January 20, 2017, and November 20, 2017, plaintiff was   |                            |
| 25 | advised of the requirements for filing an opposition to a motion and that failure to oppose such a |                            |
| 26 | motion may be deemed a waiver of opposition to the motion. See Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d           |                            |
| 27 | 952, 957 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), and Klingele v. Eikenberry, 849 F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir.     |                            |
| 28 | 1988).   |                            |
|    |  | 1                          |

| 1      | Local Rule 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules "may be grounds for  |  |
|--------|--|--|
| 2      | imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of  |  |
| 3      | the Court." Id. In the order filed January 20, 2017, plaintiff was also advised that failure to  |  |
| 4      | comply with the Local Rules may result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed.   |  |
| 5      | Finally, Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides:  |  |
| 6      | <b>Involuntary Dismissal; Effect</b> . If the plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with these rules or a court order, a defendant may move to dismiss the action or any claim against it. Unless the dismissal order states otherwise, a dismissal under this subdivision (b) and any dismissal not under this rule-except one for lack of jurisdiction, improper venue, or failure to join a party under Rule 19operates as an adjudication on the merits. |  |
| 7      |  |  |
| 8<br>9 |  |  |
| 10     | <u>Id.</u>   |  |
| 11     | Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within fourteen days from the   |  |
| 12     | date of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any, to the motion for summary judgment.  |  |
| 13     | Failure to file an opposition will be deemed as consent to have the: (a) action dismissed for lack   |  |
| 14     | of prosecution; and (b) action dismissed based on plaintiff's failure to comply with these rules   |  |
| 15     | and a court order. Such failure shall result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed   |  |
| 16     | pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).   |  |
| 17     | Dated: February 21, 2018   |  |
| 18     | Fordall P. Newman  |  |
| 19     | KENDALL J. NEWMAN<br>UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  |  |
| 20     |  |  |
| 21     | /cida2289.nop  |  |
| 22     |  |  |
| 23     |  |  |
| 24     |  |  |
| 25     |  |  |
| 26     |  |  |
| 27     |  |  |
| 28     | 2  |  |
|        |  |  |