1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	ROY LEE HOUSTON,	No. 2:13-cv-2306 JAM GGH P
11	Petitioner,	
12	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
13	F. FOULK,	
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	Petitioner has requested an extension of time to file a motion for certificate of	
17	appealability. Petitioner is advised that this court previously determined that a certificate of	
18	appealability shall not be issued. Order, filed September 2, 2014. Therefore, the request will be	
19	denied as moot. Petitioner is advised to seek a certificate of appealability with the Ninth Circuit	
20	Court of Appeals after he has filed a notice of appeal, which has not been filed, according to this	
21	court's docket. ¹	
22	To the extent petitioner's filing can be construed as a request for extension of time in	
23	which to file a notice of appeal, it will be granted. See $F.R.App.4(a)(5)(A)$. Petitioner may file a	
24	notice of appeal within thirty days of October 2, 2014, when the time for filing a notice of appeal	
25	expired.	
26		
27 28	¹ Petitioner is correct in stating that he filed a notice of appeal which was construed as objections. (ECF Nos. 19, 20.) Petitioner is informed that a notice of appeal is not effective until judgment has been entered.	

1	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. Petitioner's request for an extension of time to file a certificate of appealability (ECF	
3	No. 23) is denied as moot; and	
4	2. Petitioner's filing of October 3, 2014 (ECF No. 23), is construed as a request for	
5	extension of time in which to file a notice of appeal, and is granted. Petitioner shall file a notice	
6	of appeal before November 3, 2014.	
7		
8	Dated: October 22, 2014	
9	<u>/s/ Gregory G. Hollows</u>	
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
	d	