1	NOSSAMAN LLP PAUL S. WEILAND (CA 237058)						
2	pweiland@nossaman.com 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1800						
3	Irvine, CA 92612 Telephone: 949.833.7800						
4	Facsimile: 949.833.7878						
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Dennis D. Murphy, Ph.D.						
6							
7							
8							
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
11	DENNIS D. MURPHY, PH.D.	Case No: 2:13-cv-02315-GEB-AC					
12	Plaintiff,						
13	VS.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER					
14	UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE;						
15	THOMAS TIDWELL, in his official capacity as Chief of the United States Forest Service;						
16	NANCY J. GIBSON, in her official capacity as Forester of the United States Forest Service;	Date Action Filed: November 6, 2013					
17	UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE; DANIEL ASHE, in his official						
18	capacity as direct of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service						
19							
20	Defendants.						
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	2-13-cv-02315-GEB-AC - Murphy v USFS - Stipulation and Proposed Order re Briefing Schedule.doc Case No. 2:13-cv-02315-GEB-AC						
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER						

Plaintiff served his Complaint in this matter on November 13, 2013. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(i), Defendants' responsive pleading was due on January 13, 2014. The parties stipulated to an extension of 15 days, up to and including January 28, 2014, for Defendants to respond. In submitting the Joint Scheduling Report, Plaintiff indicated that he would amend his Complaint to add claims, and filed a First Amended Complaint on February 6, 2014. (Dkt. No. 13) The Court entered a Scheduling Order in this matter on January 28, 2014. (Dkt. No. 14).

Since the filing of the Joint Scheduling Report, the parties engaged in settlement talks and exchanged drafts of (i) a written term sheet then (ii) a proposed settlement agreement. The parties sought and the Court granted multiple extensions in an effort to seek resolution of the matter without further litigation. Most recently, in a stipulation dated May 12, 2014, the parties stated that they "are down to negotiating the language of the last few terms to the proposed settlement agreement." (Dkt. No. 26) Unfortunately, the parties were unable to reach agreement.

In light of these developments, as well as other considerations described below, the parties respectfully seek an order from this Court as follows.

I. AMENDMENTS

On May 2, 2014, Plaintiff sent a 60-day notice of intent to sue to the Chief of the United States Forest Service and the Secretary of the Department of the Interior alleging violations of the Endangered Species Act. Plaintiff sent the notice of intent following the issuance of a final rule listing the Sierra Nevada yellow-legged frog as endangered on April 29, 2014. In light of the foregoing, Plaintiff anticipates, and Defendants stipulate to, the filing of a second amended complaint not later than July 11, 2014, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2).

II. UPCOMING MOTIONS

A. Motion to dismiss

Defendants anticipate filing a motion to dismiss. Rather than file with respect to the First Amended Complaint, Defendants request, and Plaintiff stipulates to, an extension of time to file a responsive pleading to the anticipated Second Amended Complaint as follows:

1	Defendants' motion	July 21, 2014	
2	Plaintiff's opposition	August 8, 2014	
3	Defendants' reply	August 18, 2014	
4	Hearing date	August 25, 2014	
5	B. Motion for preliminary relief		
6	Plaintiff anticipates filing a motion for preliminary relief. Defendants ceased work on the		
7	challenged project in November 2013 due to weather, and will not cut additional trees before		
8	September 1, 2014, as previously represented to this Court. (See Dkt. No. 26.) In order to allow		
9	the Court to decide the motion before Defendants plan to commence the second phase of the		
10	project and cut additional trees, Plaintiff and Defendants stipulate to the following schedule for		
11	Plaintiff's motion for preliminary relief:		
12	Plaintiff's motion	July 21, 2014	
13	Defendants' opposition	August 8, 2014	
14	Plaintiff's reply	August 18, 2014	
15	Hearing date	August 25, 2014	
16	III. FURTHER STATUS REPORT		
17	The parties propose vacating the motion hearing schedule set out in the Court's prior		
18	Status Order (Dkt. No. 14) and, instead, filing a joint status report on October 1, 2014, regarding		
19	further proceedings, in the event the matter is not resolved as of that time. A status conference is		
20	scheduled for October 27, 2014, at 9:00 a.m.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	Respectfully submitted,		
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	DATED: May 28, 2014		NOSSAMAN LLP
3			
4		By	/s/ Paul S. Weiland Attorney for Plaintiff
5			, and the second
6			BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney
7			
8		By:	/s/ Gregory T. Broderick (as authorized on May 28, 2014) GREGORY T. BRODERICK
9			Assistant United States Attorney
10			
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12			
13	DATED: MAY 28, 2014		
14			
15			Subst E. Kinelly
16			GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. Senior United States District Judge
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			