1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANGELA C. CLOSSON,	No. 2:13-cv-2381-KJN
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	
15	SECURITI,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	Plaintiff, who is represented by an attorney, filed her complaint (ECF No. 1) without	
19	paying the applicable filing fee. Instead, plaintiff filed an application to proceed in forma	
20	pauperis (ECF No. 2).	
21	Plaintiff's declaration in support of her application to proceed in forma pauperis indicates	
22	that while the sole "income" she receives is a monthly annuity payment of \$1,500.00, the	
23	declaration also indicates that plaintiff owns a 2013 Honda valued at \$27,000, a house "with	
24	\$134,000 equity," and a 1999 Chevy valued at \$4,000. (ECF No. 2 at 2.)	
25	Pursuant to federal statute, a filing fee of \$350 is required to commence a civil action in	
26	federal district court. 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). The court may authorize the commencement of an	
27	action "without prepayment of fees" by a person that is "unable to pay such fees or give security	

that she is unable to use her house or her 2013 Honda as security upon which she might obtain funds pay the initial filing fee in this case. Thus, plaintiff has not yet made an inadequate showing of indigency. See Olivares v. Marshall, 59 F.3d 109, 112 (9th Cir. 1995); Alexander v. Carson Adult High Sch., 9 F.3d 1448, 1449 (9th Cir. 1993). For the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is denied without prejudice. 2. Within 28 days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall either pay the applicable filing fee *or* file an amended application to proceed in forma pauperis that more thoroughly explains why plaintiff is unable to pay the initial filing fee despite her potential ability to "give security" for such fee based upon her assets described above. If plaintiff fails to either pay the filing fee or file an amended application by the above deadline, this will result in a recommendation that plaintiff's complaint be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), and will also constitute plaintiff's consent to such involuntary dismissal. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November 22, 2013 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE