

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MAYER HORWITZ, derivatively on behalf of
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JAMES "JAMIE" DIMON; JAMES BELL;
CRANDALL C. BOWLES; STEPHEN B.
BURKE; JAMES S. CROWN; ELLEN V.
FUTTER; WILLIAM B. HARRISON, JR.;
LABAN P. JACKSON, JR.; ROBERT I. LIPP;
DAVID C. NOVAK; LEE R. RAYMOND; and
WILLIAM C. WELDON,

Defendants,

-and-

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO.,

Nominal Defendant.

Case No. 2:14-cv-01489-KJM-EFB

ORDER CONSOLIDATING ACTIONS

In re JPMORGAN CHASE DERIVATIVE
LITIGATION

Master File No. 2:13-cv-02414-KJM-EFB

This Document Relates to: All Actions.

1 In consideration of the parties' stipulation and proposed order, ECF No. 67 in 2:13-cv-
2 02414-KJM-EFB, ECF No. 67 and ECF No. 12 in 2:14-cv-01489-KJM-EFB, the court orders as
3 follows:

4 1. The actions of *Horwitz v. Dimon, et al.*, No. 2:14-cv-01489-KJM-EFB, and *In re*
5 *JPMorgan Chase Derivative Litigation*, Master File No. 2:13-cv-02414-KJM-EFB, are hereby
6 consolidated for all purposes, including pretrial proceedings, trial, and appeal;

7 2. Every pleading filed in the consolidated action, or in any separate action included
8 herein, shall bear the caption identified in the Court's February 7, 2014 Order;

9 3. The Consolidated Shareholder Derivative Complaint filed on March 3, 2014 in *In*
10 *re JPMorgan Chase Derivative Litigation* remains the operative pleading at issue in the
11 consolidated action;

12 4. Pursuant to previous stipulation between the nominal defendant and the plaintiff in
13 the *Horwitz* action, the motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, to transfer venue filed in *In re*
14 *JPMorgan Chase Derivative Litigation* shall be deemed filed and fully briefed with respect to the
15 complaint filed by Mr. Horwitz, with the briefs filed in opposition to the motion to dismiss or, in
16 the alternative, to transfer venue deemed filed by Mr. Horwitz, and with no need for any of the
17 defendants from the *Horwitz* action to further respond to Mr. Horwitz' complaint;

18 5. The parties from the *Horwitz* action, including plaintiff Mayer Horwitz, shall be
19 bound by the Court's order on the pending motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, to transfer
20 venue filed in *In re JPMorgan Chase Derivative Litigation* on May 16, 2014, including, but not
21 limited to, any order denying or granting the motion, in whole or in part, and/or any order to
22 dismiss the case with prejudice or that sets future deadlines to amend or answer the Consolidated
23 Shareholder Derivative Complaint; and

24 6. Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP remains Lead Counsel for plaintiffs in the
25 consolidated action, with responsibility to coordinate and supervise the efforts of plaintiffs'
26 counsel in the derivative actions, including any discovery, briefing, settlement, and trial.

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 16, 2014.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE