1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	H. DYMITRI HARASZEWSKI,	No. 2:13-cv-2494 JAM DB P
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
13	KNIPP, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a civil rights complaint	
17	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff alleges defendants retaliated against him, interfered with his	
18	mail, placed him in segregation as a punitive measure, and deprived him of his property. On	
19	August 14, 2019, defendants filed a motion to opt out of the post-screening ADR project. After	
20	reviewing the motion, the court finds good cause to grant defendants' motion.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
22	1. Defendants' motion to opt out (ECF No. 82) is granted and the stay of this action is	
23	lifted; and	
24	2. By separate order, the court will set a schedule for this case.	
25	Dated: August 19, 2019	1
26		11 Nical 1/2
27	DI P:0/DP/pricepor civil richts/hors/2404	1 DUDONALIDADNIC
28	DLB:9/DB/prisoner-civil rights/hara2494.optout.post.answer	DEBORAH BARNES UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
		I