[Proposed] Order on County of Sacramento's Motion for Summary Judgment

Page 1

Dockets.Justia.com

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. As to each claim against the moving Defendants, the motion for summary judgment is GRANTED, in part, and DENIED, in part, in accordance with the following:
- 2. The motion is DENIED as to the 1st claim (excessive force) as to Defendants Scott Jones and Defendant County of Sacramento.
- 3. The motion is GRANTED as to the 2nd claim (unreasonable search and seizure) as to Defendants Scott Jones and Defendant County of Sacramento.
- 4. The motion is GRANTED as to the 3rd claim (failure to provide medical care) as to Defendants Scott Jones, James Lewis, and Rick Pattison, and DENIED as to Defendant County of Sacramento.
- 5. The motion is GRANTED as to the 4th claim (failure to protect from harm in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment) as to Defendants Scott Jones, James Lewis, and Rick Pattison, and DENIED as to Defendant County of Sacramento.
- 6. The motion is GRANTED as to the 5th claim (loss of familial companionship) asserted against Defendants County of Sacramento, Scott Jones, James Lewis, and Rick Pattison by Plaintiffs James Allison Mayfield, Jr. and Terri Mayfield.
- 7. The motion is DENIED as to the 6^{th} claim (Americans with Disabilities Act) as to Defendant County of Sacramento.
- 8. The motion is GRANTED as to the 8th claim (failure to furnish/summon medical care) as to Defendants Scott Jones, James Lewis, and Rick Pattison, and DENIED as to Defendant County of Sacramento.
- 9. The motion is GRANTED as to the 9th claim (assault) as to Defendant County of Sacramento.
- 10. The motion is GRANTED as to the 10th claim (battery) as to Defendant County of Sacramento.
- 11. The motion is GRANTED as to the 11th claim (Bane Act) as to Defendant County of Sacramento.
- 12. The motion is GRANTED as to the 12th claim (negligence) as to Defendants Scott Jones, James Lewis, and Rick Pattison, and DENIED as to Defendant County of Sacramento.

[Proposed] Order on County of Sacramento's Motion for Summary Judgment

Page 3

28