

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TIMEC COMPANY, INC., et al.,	)	Case No. 2:13-CV-02521 JAM-DAD
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
KAMALA HARRIS, et al.,	)	
	)	<b><u>RELATED CASE ORDER</u></b>
Defendants.	)	
	)	
STATE BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION	)	
TRADES COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA,	)	
AFL-CIO,	)	
	)	
Intervenor.	)	
UNICO MECHANICAL CORP, et al.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	Case No. 2:15-CV-00996 WBS-CKD
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
KAMALA HARRIS, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal. 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for

1 the parties.

2 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under  
3 Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are  
4 assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation  
5 of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this  
6 court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and  
7 magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

8 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated 2:15-CV-  
9 00996 WBS-CKD be reassigned to Judge John A. Mendez and Magistrate  
10 Judge Dale A. Drozd for all further proceedings, and any dates  
11 currently set in this reassigned case only are hereby VACATED.  
12 Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case  
13 shall be shown as 2:15-CV-00996 JAM-DAD.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: June 24, 2015

16 /s/ John A. Mendez \_\_\_\_\_  
17 JOHN A. MENDEZ  
18 United States District Court Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28