

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

REGINALD WHEELER,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

M. HODGES, et al.,

 Defendants.

No. 2:13-cv-2526 KJN P

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed April 1, 2014, plaintiff’s amended complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file a second amended complaint was granted. Thirty days from that date have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

ACCORDINGLY, the clerk of the court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).


These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings

1 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified
2 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
3 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 Dated: May 7, 2014

5

6 /whee2526.fta


KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28