1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JULIE BROWN, No. 2:13-cv-2535-TLN-EFB 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 RANJIT K. RAI, STARBUCKS CORPORATION, 15 Defendants. 16 17 On June 5, 2014, plaintiff filed an application for entry of default judgment against 18 19 defendant Ranjit Rai, and noticed the motion for hearing before the assigned district judge. ECF 20 No. 9. The district judge vacated the hearing and directed plaintiff to notice her motion for 21 hearing before the undersigned as required by Local Rule 302(c)(19). ECF No. 10. To date, 22 plaintiff has not re-noticed the motion, or otherwise responded to the minute order. Given that 23 more than seven months have passed since the hearing was vacated, it appears that plaintiff is no longer interested in litigating her motion. Accordingly, the motion (ECF No. 9) is denied without 24 25 prejudice. 26 DATED: March 19, 2015. 27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 28