

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES C. MAXEY,) Case No. 2:13-CV-02620 JAM-EFB
Plaintiff,)
v.) **RELATED CASE ORDER**
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,)
Defendants.)
JAMES C. MAXEY,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:14-CV-00900 JAM-EFB
v.)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,)
Defendants.)
JAMES C. MAXEY,)
Plaintiffs,) Case No. 2:14-CV-02206 KJM-EFB
v.)
GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN, et al.,)
Defendants.)
JAMES C. MAXEY,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:14-CV-02507 MCE-AC
v.)
GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN, et al.,)
Defendants.)
JAMES C. MAXEY,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:14-CV-02577 GEB-CKD
v.)
PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA, et al.,)
Defendants.)

1 JAMES C. MAXEY,)
2)
3 Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:14-CV-02606 MCE-EFB
4)
5 PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA, et al.,)
6)
7 Defendants.)
8 JAMES C. MAXEY,)
9)
10 Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:14-CV-02802 TLN-KJN
11)
12 v.)
13)
14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,)
15)
16 Defendants.)
17 JAMES C. MAXEY,)
18)
19 Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:14-CV-02872 MCE-DAD
20)
21 v.)
22)
23 NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, et al.,)
24)
25 Defendants.)
26 JAMES C. MAXEY,)
27)
28 Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:14-CV-02996 TLN-AC
29)
30 v.)
31)
32 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,)
33)
34 Defendants.)
35 JAMES C. MAXEY,)
36)
37 Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:15-CV-00326 GEB-EFB
38)
39 v.)
40)
41 SACRAMENTO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,)
42 et al.,)
43)
44 Defendants.)
45)

1 JAMES C. MAXEY,)
2 Plaintiff,)
3 v.) Case No. 2:15-CV-00552 JAM-EFB
4 THE OFFICE OF PRESIDENT BARACK)
OBAMA, et al.,)
5 Defendants.)
6 JAMES C. MAXEY,)
7 Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:15-CV-00641 TLN-GGH
8 v.)
9 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY BENJAMIN)
WAGNER, et al.,)
10 Defendants.)
11

12 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these
13 actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal.
14 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same
15 judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial
16 savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for
17 the parties.

18 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under
19 Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are
20 assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation
21 of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this
22 court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and
23 magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

24 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated 2:14-CV-
25 02206 KJM-EFB, 2:14-CV-02507 MCE-AC, 2:14-CV-02577 GEB-CKD, 2:14-
26 CV-02606 MCE-EFB, 2:14-CV-02802 TLN-KJN, 2:14-CV-02803 GEB-CKD,
27 2:14-CV-02872 MCE-DAD, 2:14-CV-02996 TLN-AC, 2:15-CV-00326 GEB-EFB,
28 and 2:15-CV-00641 TLN-GGH be reassigned to Judge John A. Mendez and

1 Magistrate Judge Edmund F. Brennan for all further proceedings, and
2 any dates currently set in this reassigned case only are hereby
3 VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the
4 reassigned cases shall be shown as 2:14-CV-02206 JAM-EFB, 2:14-CV-
5 02507 JAM-EFB, 2:14-CV-02577 JAM-EFB, 2:14-CV-02606 JAM-EFB, 2:14-
6 CV-02802 JAM-EFB, 2:14-CV-02803 JAM-EFB, 2:14-CV-02872 JAM-EFB,
7 2:14-CV-02996 JAM-EFB, 2:15-CV-00326 JAM-EFB, and 2:15-CV-00641
8 JAM-EFB.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make
10 appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to
11 compensate for this reassignment.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: April 2, 2015 /s/ John A. Mendez
14 JOHN A. MENDEZ
15 United States District Court Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28