

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT ALAN GIBBS,
Plaintiff,
v.
BOYD, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:13-CV-2631-KJM-CMK

ORDER

Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge under Eastern District of California local rules.

On August 10, 2016, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file objections within a specified time. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

////

////

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed August 10, 2016, are adopted in full; and
2. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief (Doc. 59) is denied.

DATED: September 26, 2016.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE