Doc. 37

Following numerous extension of time, plaintiff filed a first amended complaint on December 1, 2014. The amended complaint suffered from the same defects and, on November 3, 2015, the court directed plaintiff to show cause why the action should not be dismissed for failure to state a claim. After numerous additional extensions of time, plaintiff filed a response to the order to show cause on March 11, 2016. Having reviewed plaintiff's response, the court finds that plaintiff has not offered anything to indicate that plaintiff will be able, upon further leave to amend, to cure the defects identified in the court's prior orders. To the contrary, his response is just as convoluted as his complaints.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, for failure to state a claim;
- 2. Plaintiff's "Motion for Investigation" (Doc. 21) is denied as moot; and
- 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.

DATED: March 23, 2016

CRAIG M. KELLISON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE