8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
BOTTOMLINE LAWYERS PC,	No. 2:13-mc-0127 GEB DAD
Petitioner,	
v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	RECOMMENDATIONS
Respondent.	
Petitioner Bottomline Lawyers PC, proceeding through attorney Richard A. Hall, filed a	
petition to quash an Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") summons pursuant to 26 U.S.C. §	
7609(b)(2). The case has been referred to the undersigned U.S. Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
20 Local Rule 302(c)(10).	
On January 8, 2014, respondent filed a motion to dismiss the petition and noticed the	
matter for hearing before the undersigned on February 14, 2014. (Dkt. No. 5.) Therein,	
respondent asserts that petitioner's petition to quash summons should be dismissed because the	
24 IRS summons at issue has been withdrawn, thus rendering the petition to moot. ( <u>Id.</u> at 1-2.) On	
January 31, 2014, petitioner filed a notice of non-opposition to respondent's motion to dismiss.	
26 (Dkt. No. 6.) Therein, petitioner states that it does not oppose the dismissal of its petition to	
27 /////	
/////	
	FOR THE EASTERN  BOTTOMLINE LAWYERS PC,  Petitioner,  V.  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Respondent.  Petitioner Bottomline Lawyers PC, propertition to quash an Internal Revenue Service 7609(b)(2). The case has been referred to the Local Rule 302(c)(10).  On January 8, 2014, respondent filed matter for hearing before the undersigned on respondent asserts that petitioner's petition to IRS summons at issue has been withdrawn, to January 31, 2014, petitioner filed a notice of (Dkt. No. 6.) Therein, petitioner states that in IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII

quash summons. (Id. at 1.) 1 2 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the February 14, 2014 hearing of respondent's motion 3 to dismiss is vacated. Also, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that: 4 5 1. Respondent's January 8, 2014 motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 5) be granted; 6 2. Petitioner's petition to quash filed December 11, 2013 (Dkt. No. 2) be 7 dismissed without prejudice; and 8 3. This case be closed. 9 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 10 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 11 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 12 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 13 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections 14 shall be served and filed within seven days after service of the objections. The parties are advised 15 that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 16 17 Dated: February 10, 2014 18 19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 20 DAD:6 ddad1\orders.civil\bottomline0127.mtd.f&rs.docx 21 22 23 24 25 26 Petitioner also states, however, that "neither petitioner nor its designated agent have been informed in writing that the Summons in question has been withdraw as required by law." (Dkt. 27 No. 6 at 1.) Accordingly, the undersigned will recommend that petitioner's petition be dismissed 28

without prejudice.