1 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 DANNY RAY GARCIA, No. 2:14-CV-0093-CMK-P 11 Plaintiff, ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT 12 VS. CONFERENCE 13 TSENG, 14 Defendant. 15 Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 16 § 1983. The court has determined that this case will benefit from a settlement conference. 17 Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney to conduct a 18 settlement conference at the U. S. District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814 in 19 Courtroom #24 on June 5, 2017 at 9:30 a.m. 20 A separate order and writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum will issue concurrently with 21 22 this order. 23 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 24 1. A settlement conference has been set for June 5, 2017 at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom #24 before Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney at the U. S. District Court, 501 I Street, 25 Sacramento, California 95814. 26 2. Parties are instructed to have a principal with full settlement authority present at the 27 28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

Settlement Conference or to be fully authorized to settle the matter on any terms. The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle ¹.

3. Parties are directed to submit confidential settlement statements no later than May 26, 2017 to ckdorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement statement Attn: Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney, USDC CAED, 501 I Street, Suite 4-200, Sacramento, California 95814 so it arrives no later than May 26, 2017. The envelope shall be marked "CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT." If a party desires to share additional confidential information with the Court, they may do so pursuant to the provisions of Local Rule 270(d) and (e). Parties are also directed to file a "Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Statement" (See L.R. 270(d)).

Settlement statements **should not be filed** with the Clerk of the Court **nor served on any other party**. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with

While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences...." <u>United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands</u>, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9th Cir. 2012) ("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. <u>G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp.</u>, 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989), <u>cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss</u>, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9th Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. <u>Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), <u>amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. <u>Pitman</u>, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle. <u>Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc.</u>, 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

1	the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.
2	
3	The confidential settlement statement shall be no longer than five pages in length,
4	typed or neatly printed, and include the following:
5	
6	a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
7	b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon
8	which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of
9	prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in
10	dispute.
11	c. A summary of the proceedings to date.
12	d. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and
13	trial.
14	e. The relief sought.
15	f. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a
16	history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.
17	g. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement
18	conference.
19	
20	Dated: April 26, 2017
21	CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22	ONTED STATES MADISTRATE TODGE
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	