1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	DANNY RAY GARCIA, No. 2:14-CV-0093-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	TSENG,
15	Defendant.
16	/
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the court are plaintiff's (1) motion for an extension of time
19	(Doc. 46) and (2) motion for appointment of counsel (Doc. 45).
20	The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to
21	require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. See Mallard v. United States
22	Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may
23	request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). See Terrell v.
24	Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36
25	(9th Cir. 1990). A finding of "exceptional circumstances" requires an evaluation of both the
26	likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims on his

1

own in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. <u>See Terrell</u>, 935 F.2d at 1017.
 Neither factor is dispositive and both must be viewed together before reaching a decision. <u>See</u>
 <u>id.</u>

In the present case, the court does not at this time find the required exceptional
circumstances. Specifically, plaintiff states that he requires the appointment of counsel because,
in reviewing defendant's motion for summary judgment, he has encountered numerous issues
beyond his legal knowledge. This circumstance is not exceptional, but is common to almost all
prisoner plaintiffs. Moreover, plaintiff has demonstrated an ability to articulate his claims on his
own, the legal issues involved in the case are not complex, and plaintiff has not demonstrated
any particular likelihood of success on the merits.

Plaintiff seeks additional time to file an opposition to defendant's motion for
summary judgment. Good cause appearing therefor, plaintiff's request will be granted.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

13

1. Plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel (Doc. 45) is denied;

2. Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time (Doc. 46) is granted;

16 3. Plaintiff's opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment is due
17 within 30 days of the date of this order.

DATED: January 16, 2018

M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE