

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLETE REO HART,
Plaintiff,
v.
J. KLOPH, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-0101 MCE CKD P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS


By an order filed January 29, 2014, plaintiff was determined to be a “three strikes” litigant pursuant to 28 U.S. C. § 1915(g). He was ordered to pay the \$400 filing fee for this action within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not paid the appropriate filing fee.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the

1 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The
2 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to
3 appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 Dated: March 4, 2014

5 
6 CAROLYN K. DELANEY
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8
9 2 / hart0101.fifp
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28