| 1 | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | KENNETH WASHINGTON, | No. 2:14-cv-0232 CKD P |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | |
| 13 | v. | <u>ORDER</u> |
| 14 | ANDREW NANGALAMA, et al., | |
| 15 | Defendants. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 | |
| 18 | U.S.C. § 1983 together with a request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 | |
| 19 | U.S.C. § 1915. However, the certificate portion of the request which must be completed by | |
| 20 | plaintiff's institution of incarceration has not been filled out. Also, plaintiff has not filed a | |
| 21 | certified copy of his prison trust account statement for the six month period immediately | |
| 22 | preceding the filing of the complaint. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). Plaintiff will be provided the | |
| 23 | opportunity to submit a completed in forma pauperis application and a certified copy in support of | |
| 24 | his application. | |
| 25 | In addition, plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. The United States | |
| 26 | Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent | |
| 27 | prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In | |
| 28 | certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel | |
| | | 1 |

| 1 | pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court | |
| 3 | does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's request for the appointment of | |
| 4 | counsel will therefore be denied. | |
| 5 | In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: | |
| 6 | 1. Plaintiff's January 21, 2014 request to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is | |
| 7 | denied; | |
| 8 | 2. Plaintiff shall submit, within thirty days from the date of this order, a completed | |
| 9 | affidavit in support of his request to proceed in forma pauperis on the form provided by the Clerk | |
| 10 | of Court; | |
| 11 | 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff a new Application to Proceed In | |
| 12 | Forma Pauperis By a Prisoner; | |
| 13 | 4. Plaintiff shall submit, within thirty days from the date of this order, a certified copy of | |
| 14 | his prison trust account statement for the six month period immediately preceding the filing of the | |
| 15 | complaint. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this | |
| 16 | action be dismissed without prejudice; and | |
| 17 | 5. Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 3) is denied. | |
| 18 | Dated: January 31, 2014 Carop U. Delany | |
| 19 | CAROLYN K. DELANEY | |
| 20 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | 1/mp wash0232.3c+new+31 | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | 2 | |
| | | |