1 2. 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 YVONNE HALL, No. 2:14-cv-00236-GEB-AC 8 Plaintiff, 9 SUPERCEDING STATUS (PRETRIAL v. SCHEDULING) ORDER 10 NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION dba AMTRACK, 11 Defendant. 12 13 The February 13, 2015 Order Amending the Pretrial 14 Scheduling Order, (ECF No. 11), is superseded by this order. 1 15 16 SERVICE, JOINDER OF ADDITIONAL PARTIES, AMENDMENT 17 No further service, joinder of parties or amendments to 18 pleadings is permitted, except with leave of Court for good cause 19 shown. 20 DISCOVERY Non-Expert discovery shall be completed by July 9, 21 22 2015. Expert discovery shall be completed by December 3, 2015. 23 "Completed" means all discovery shall be conducted so that any dispute relative to discovery shall have been resolved by 2.4 25 appropriate orders, if necessary, and, where discovery has been 26 This superseding order issues because most of the scheduling dates 27 herein were previously issued, but were vacated by the December 12, 2014 recusal order, (ECF No. 8). It was attempted to keep those scheduling dates or 28 to modify them, if necessary, as little as possible.

ordered, the order has been complied with on or before the prescribed "completion" date.

Each party shall comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) and (C)'s initial expert witness disclosure requirements on or before September 9, 2015, and any contradictory and/or rebuttal expert disclosure authorized under Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(ii) on or before October 13, 2015.

MOTION HEARING SCHEDULE

The last hearing date for a motion is February 8, 2016, commencing at 9:00 a.m. Any motion shall be briefed as prescribed in Local Rule 230.

The parties are cautioned that an untimely motion characterized as a motion in limine may be summarily denied.

FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

The final pretrial conference is set for April 4, 2016, at 1:30 p.m. The parties are cautioned that the lead attorney who WILL TRY THE CASE for each party shall attend the final pretrial conference. In addition, all persons representing themselves and appearing in propria persona must attend the pretrial conference.

The parties shall file a JOINT pretrial statement no later than seven (7) calendar days prior to the final pretrial conference. The joint pretrial statement shall address the applicable portions of Local Rule 281(b), and shall set forth each theory of liability ("claim") and affirmative defense which remains to be tried, and the ultimate facts on which each theory/defense is based. Furthermore, each party shall estimate the length of trial. The Court uses the parties' joint pretrial

statement to prepare its final pretrial order and could issue the final pretrial order without holding the scheduled final pretrial conference. See Mizwicki v. Helwig, 196 F.3d 828, 833 (7th Cir. 1999) ("There is no requirement that the court hold a pretrial conference.").

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

Final pretrial procedures are "critical for 'promoting efficiency and conserving judicial resources by identifying the real issues prior to trial, thereby saving time and expense for everyone.'" Friedman & Friedman, Ltd. v. Tim McCandless, Inc., 606 F.3d 494, 498 (8th Cir. 2010) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 Advisory Committee Note (1983 Amendment to subdivision (c)). "Toward that end, Rule 16 directs courts to use pretrial conferences to weed out unmeritorious claims and defenses before trial begins." Smith v. Gulf Oil Co., 995 F.2d 638, 642 (6th Cir. 1993). The parties are therefore provided notice that a claim or affirmative defense may be dismissed sua sponte if it is not shown to be triable in the joint final pretrial statement. Cf. Portland Retail Druggists Ass'n v. Kaiser Found. Health Plan, 662 F.2d 641, 645 (9th Cir. 1981) (indicating that a party shall be provided notice and an opportunity to respond with facts sufficient to justify having a claim or affirmative defense proceed to trial); Portsmouth Square, Inc. v. S'holders Protective Comm., 770 F.2d 866, 869 (9th Cir. 1985) (stating "the district court has . . . authority to grant summary judgment sua sponte in the context of a final pretrial conference").

If feasible, at the time of filing the joint pretrial statement counsel shall also email it in a format compatible with WordPerfect to: geborders@caed.uscourts.gov.

TRIAL SETTING Trial shall commence at 9:00 a.m. on May 17, 2016. EARLY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE The parties state they "are interested in an effort to settle the matter, and would welcome a settlement conference with the trial judge or a magistrate." (Joint Status Report 4:2-3, ECF No. 10.) The parties are hereby authorized to contact courtroom deputy assigned to a magistrate judge for the purpose of attempting to schedule a settlement conference at a mutually convenient date and time. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: February 17, 2015 GARLAND E. Senior United States District Judge