21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JULIO FATILUA, No. 2:07-cr-269-TLN-EFB 12 Petitioner. No. 2:14-cv-267-TLN-EFB P 13 v. 14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, **ORDER** 15 Respondent. 16 17 Petitioner is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se. On October 10, 2013 he filed a "motion 18 19 20

for time credit due . . . under [18 U.S.C.] § 3585," which the court construed as a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. ECF No. 39. Petitioner has not, however, filed an in forma pauperis affidavit or paid the required filing fee (\$5.00). See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a); 1915(a). Petitioner will be provided the opportunity to either submit the appropriate affidavit in support of a request to proceed in forma pauperis or submit the appropriate filing fee.

Habeas corpus relief extends to a person in custody under the authority of the United States. See 28 U.S.C. § 2241(c)(1). Claims concerning the manner and execution of a prisoner's sentence are properly asserted under section 2241. See, e.g., Tucker v. Carlson, 925 F.2d 330, 331 (9th Cir. 1991) (stating that a challenge to the execution of a sentence is "maintainable only in a petition for habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241"). The Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts also apply to petitions filed under section

2241. Rule 1(b), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Under Rule 4, the court may dismiss a petition if it "plainly appears from the face of the petition and any attached exhibits that the petitioner is not entitled to relief in the district court" In addition Rule 2(c) requires that a petition specify the grounds for relief and the state the facts supporting each ground. Notice pleading is not sufficient; rather, the petition must state facts that point to a real possibility of constitutional error. Rule 4, 1976 Advisory Committee Notes. Allegations that are vague, conclusory, or "palpably incredible" are subject to summary dismissal. *Hendricks v. Vasquez*, 908 F.2d 490, 491 (9th Cir. 1990).

Here, petitioner alleges that the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) miscalculated his release date by failing to credit him with time he spent in custody from June 1, 2007 to June 2, 2008. ECF No. 37. Though he purports to submit with his motion "papers" that prove the miscalculation, no such papers accompany the motion.

After a district court sentences a federal offender, the Attorney General, through the Bureau of Prisons, has the responsibility for administering the sentence. *United States v. Wilson*, 503 U.S. 329, 335 (1992) (citing 18 U.S.C. § 3621(a)). This includes the responsibility for computing time credits and determining a sentence termination date. *Id.* at 333-335. Once a prisoner commences his federal sentence and exhausts his administrative remedies, he can petition for judicial review of the Attorney General's computation of his sentence. *Wilson*, 503 U.S. at 335-36; *United States v. Checchini*, 967 F.2d 348, 350 (9th Cir. 1992). The Bureau of Prisons has established an administrative remedy by which an inmate in a federal prison may seek review of any aspect of his or her confinement. 28 C.F.R. § 542.10. The purpose of the exhaustion requirement is to allow the administrative agency to develop a factual record, to correct its own errors, and to promote judicial efficiency. *Parisi v. Davidson*, 405 U.S. 34, 37 (1972). After exhausting, a petitioner filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 must file the petition within the judicial district of the petitioner's custodian. *See Brown v. United States*, 610 F.2d 672, 677 (9th Cir. 1990) ("A petition under § 2241 must be addressed to the district court which has jurisdiction over [petitioner] or his custodian").

/////

27

28

/////

/////

| 1 | 7. Petitioner's failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 2 | action be dismissed. |
| 3 | DATED: January 29, 2014. |
| 4 | EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 5 | UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 2425 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| <i>-</i> ' | |