1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	RANDY ALEXANDER SANDOVAL,	1:14-cv-00133-SKO (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE	
13	V.	SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	M. O. PALAGUMMI,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42		
18	U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C.		
19	§ 1915.		
20	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendant. The alleged		
21	violations took place in San Joaquin County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the		
22	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should		
23	have been filed in the Sacramento Division.		
24 25	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper		
25 26	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action		
20 27	will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to		
27	proceed in forma pauperis.		
20		1	

1	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of	
3	California sitting in Sacramento; and	
4	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be	
5	filed at:	
6	United States District Court Eastern District of California	
7	Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814	
8	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10		
11	Dated: January 31, 2014 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	