1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	00000	
11	00000	
12	KOUROSH HAMIDI, et al., and the CLASS THEY SEEK TO	CIV. NO. 2:14-cv-319 WBS KJN
13	REPRESENT,	ORDER RE: COSTS
14	Plaintiffs,	
15	V.	
16	SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION LOCAL	
17	1000, and BETTY YEE, California State Controller,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22	00000	
23	After judgment was entered in favor of defendants	
24	(Docket No. 95), defendants Service Employees International Union	
25	Local 1000 ("Local 1000") submitted a cost bill totaling	
26	\$21,294.12 and the California state controller submitted a cost	
27	At the commencement of this action, the California	
28	state controller was John Chia	ng. (Compl. \P 7.) Chiang has

bill totaling \$8,671.75. (Docket Nos. 96, 97.) Local Rule 292(c) provided plaintiffs with seven days from the date of service to object, and plaintiffs did not object to either bill of costs.

Rule 54(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 292 govern the taxation of costs, which are generally subject to limits set under 28 U.S.C. § 1920. See 28 U.S.C. § 1920 (enumerating taxable costs); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(1) ("Unless a federal statute, these rules, or a court order provides otherwise, costs—other than attorney's fees—should be allowed to the prevailing party."); E.D. Cal. Local R. 292(f); Crawford Fitting Co. v. J.T. Gibbons, Inc., 482 U.S. 437, 441 (1987) (limiting taxable costs to those enumerated in § 1920).

Defendant SEIU has requested \$21,294.12 in costs based on services that were actually and necessarily performed, including obtaining certified transcripts, making copies of materials, and witness attendance fees. After reviewing the bill, and in light of the fact that plaintiffs have not objected, the court finds the requested costs to be reasonable.

Accordingly, costs of \$21,294.12 will be allowed for defendant SEIU and are taxed against plaintiffs.

Defendant Betty Yee, California State Controller, has requested \$8,671.75 in costs based on services that were actually and necessarily performed, specifically obtaining certified transcripts. After reviewing the bill, and in light of the fact that plaintiffs have not objected, the court finds the requested

1.3

2.1

since been succeeded by Betty Yee. (See Docket No. 74 at 1 n.1.)

costs to be reasonable. Accordingly, costs of \$8,671.75 will be allowed for defendant Betty Yee, California State Controller and are taxed against plaintiffs.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 28, 2017

Milliam Va Shubt

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE