1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	TAMECUS REED,	No. 2:14-cv-0463 JAM GGH P
9	Petitioner,	
10	v.	ORDER
11	STU SHERMAN,	
12	Respondent.	
13		
14	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute	
15	right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460	
16	(9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage	
17	of the case "if the interests of justice so require." <u>See</u> Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases.	
18	In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the	
19	appointment of counsel at the present time.	
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's motion for appointment of	
21	counsel (ECF No. 27) is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a later stage of the	
22	proceedings.	
23	Dated: December 12, 2014	
24	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows	
25	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
26		
27	/mp; reed0463.110(2)	
28		