1 2 3 4 5 6	MICHAEL J. STORTZ (SBN #139386) michael.stortz@dbr.com MATTHEW J. ADLER (SBN #273147) matthew.adler@dbr.com DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP 50 Fremont Street, 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2235 Telephone: (415) 591-7500 Facsimile: (415) 591-7510 Attorneys for Defendant	
7	BUTH-NA-BODHAIGE, INC. d/b/a THE BODY SHOP	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	AIMEE LAMBERT, an individual, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v.	Case No. 2:14-cv-00514-MCE-KJN
12		FURTHER STIPULATION TO EXTEND
13		TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT
14		
15	BUTH-NA-BODHAIGE, INC., a	
16 17	Delaware corporation; RAZE MEDIA, LLC, a Texas limited liability company; and DOES 1 – 50, inclusive,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco	Further Stipulation to Extend Time For Defendants to Respond to Complaint	CASE NO. 2:14-CV-00514-MCE-KJN

1	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Aimee Lambert ("Plaintiff") filed the Complaint on February 20,		
2	2014;		
3	WHEREAS, the current responsive pleading deadlines for Defendants Raze Media, LLC		
4	and Buth-Na-Bodhaige, Inc. are May 9, 2014 and May 12, 2014, respectively, pursuant to a prior		
5	stipulation of the parties to extend Defendants' responsive pleading deadlines by 28 days (D.E.		
6	7);		
7	WHEREAS, Defendants have reviewed Plaintiff's allegations but require additional time		
8	to complete their factual investigations and prepare their responses to the Complaint;		
9	WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rule 144(a), the parties may stipulate, subject to Court		
10	approval, to an additional extension of the time to respond to the Complaint;		
11	WHEREAS, a further extension will not impact any other deadlines in this action; and		
12	WHEREAS, the parties through counsel have met and conferred and reached agreement to		
13	continue Defendants' current responsive pleading deadlines by approximately three more weeks.		
14	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the parties through their respective		
15	counsel, subject to Court approval, as follows:		
16	1. The deadline for Defendant Raze Media, LLC to respond to the Complaint is		
17	continued to and including May 30, 2014.		
18	2. The deadline for Defendant Buth-Na-Bodhaige, Inc. to respond to the Complaint is		
19	continued to and including May 30, 2014.		
20	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	- 1 -		

1	Dated: May 6, 2014	DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP	
2			
3		By: Michael J. Stortz	
4		Michael J. Stortz Matthew J. Adler	
5		Attorneys for Defendant	
6		BUTH-NA-BODHAIGE, INC. d/b/a THE BODY SHOP	
7			
8	Dated: May 6, 2014	FINNERTY LAW OFFICES, INC.	
9			
10		By: Kathleen E. Finnerty	
11		Attorneys for Defendant	
12		RAZE MEDIA, LLC	
13			
14	Dated: May, 2014	HORNBERGER LAW CORPORATION	
15			
16		By: Nicholas W. Hornberger	
17		Nicholas W. Hornberger Nathan Verbiscar-Brown	
18		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
19		AIMEE LAMBERT	
20	ORDER		
21	Pursuant to the above Stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22	Dated: May 13, 2014		
23			
24	MORRISON		
25	UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT	
26			
27			
28			
	- 2	-	