1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DOYLE DEAN HARTLINE,	No. 2:14-cv-0635 KJM AC (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	NATIONAL UNIVERSITY,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action in pro per and in forma pauperis. The matter was	
18	referred to a United States Magistrate Judge under Local Rule 302(c)(21).	
19	On August 18, 2016, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which	
20	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the	
21	findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty one days. ECF No. 74. Defendant	
22	has filed objections to the findings and recon	nmendations. ECF No. 77. Plaintiff has filed a
23	response to the findings and recommendations. ECF No. 78.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the court finds the	
26	findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.	
27	/////	
28	/////	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed August 18, 2016 (ECF No. 74) are adopted in	
3	full; and	
4	2. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 66) is denied.	
5	DATED: March 2, 2017	
6	100	
7	Mule	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26		
27		
28	2	