

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TYRONE EDWARD HICKS,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
CITY OF VALLEJO, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-0669 DAD PS

ORDER

Each of the parties in the above-captioned case has consented to proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge. See U.S.C. § 636(c). Accordingly, this matter has been reassigned to the undersigned for all purposes.

Defendants have filed an answer. Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is set for **Friday, July 31, 2015, at 10:00 a.m.**, at the United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom No. 27, before the undersigned.

2. Each party is required to appear at the Status Conference, either by counsel or, if proceeding in propria persona, on his own behalf. Any party may appear at the status conference telephonically if the party pre-arranges such appearance by contacting Pete Buzo, the courtroom deputy of the undersigned magistrate judge, at (916) 930-4128, no later than 48 hours before the

1 Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference; a land line telephone number must be provided.

2 3. Plaintiff shall file and serve a status report on or before **July 17, 2015**, and defendants  
3 shall file and serve a status report on or before **July 24, 2015**. Each party's status report shall  
4 address all of the following matters:

- 5 a. Progress of service of process;
- 6 b. Possible joinder of additional parties;
- 7 c. Possible amendment of the pleadings;
- 8 d. Jurisdiction and venue;
- 9 e. Anticipated motions and the scheduling thereof;
- 10 f. Anticipated discovery and the scheduling thereof, including disclosure of  
11 expert witnesses;
- 12 g. Future proceedings, including the setting of appropriate cut-off dates for  
13 discovery and for law and motion, and the scheduling of a final pretrial  
14 conference and trial;
- 15 h. Modification of standard pretrial procedures specified by the rules due to  
16 the relative simplicity or complexity of the action;
- 17 i. Whether the case is related to any other case, including matters in  
18 bankruptcy;
- 19 j. Whether the parties will stipulate to the magistrate judge assigned to this  
20 matter acting as settlement judge, waiving any disqualification by virtue of  
21 his so acting, or whether they prefer to have a Settlement Conference  
22 before another magistrate judge; and
- 23 k. Any other matters that may aid in the just and expeditious disposition of  
24 this action.

25 4. The parties are cautioned that failure to file a status report or failure to appear at the  
26 status conference may result in an order imposing an appropriate sanction. See Local Rules 110  
27 and 183.

28 Dated: June 4, 2015

DAD:6

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DALE A. DROZD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1 Ddad1\orders.consent\hicks0669.ossccord.docx

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28