

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 SCOTT JOHNSON,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 SAEED ZARAKANI, et al.,

15  
16 Defendants.  
17

No. 2:14-cv-0696-MCE-KJN

ORDER

18 Pending before the court is plaintiff's motion to compel various discovery responses,  
19 presently set for hearing on July 2, 2015. (ECF No. 42.) However, upon review of the briefing  
20 submitted, it appears that the remaining defendant, Mardan, Inc., which is represented by counsel,  
21 did not participate in the drafting of the joint statement regarding the discovery disagreement  
22 required by Local Rule 251. Defendant's failure to respond to plaintiff's contentions as  
23 contemplated by Local Rule 251 significantly hampers the court's ability to resolve the motion on  
24 the merits and is potentially sanctionable absent a satisfactory showing of good cause for the  
25 failure. Nevertheless, in light of the court's concern discussed below, the court defers  
26 consideration of the motion and any potential sanctions, and vacates the July 2, 2015 hearing,  
27 subject to potential rescheduling.

28 ///


1 The court's record does not reveal that the parties have yet engaged in any meaningful  
2 settlement discussions. Therefore, in an attempt to avoid the accumulation of attorneys' fees  
3 through potentially unnecessary motion practice and hearings, the court orders the parties to first  
4 meet and confer to explore settlement.

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 6 1. By no later than July 14, 2015, the parties shall meet and confer, at a minimum **by**  
7 **telephone**, to explore potential settlement options and shall file a joint status report  
8 outlining: (a) when and where the meet-and-confer session took place; (b) who was  
9 present; (c) whether a settlement conference before the undersigned (with a waiver of  
10 disqualification by all parties) or another magistrate judge should be scheduled; and  
11 (d) any other information the parties deem pertinent.
- 12 2. The July 2, 2015 hearing is vacated.
- 13 3. Upon review of the parties' joint status report, the court will further schedule any  
14 settlement proceedings and/or motion practice.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: June 24, 2015

17   
18 KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28